arXiv:1512.01937v1 [math.GN] 7 Dec 2015

ON BAIRE-ONE MAPPINGS WITH ZERO-DIMENSIONAL DOMAINS

OLENA KARLOVA

Abstract. We generalize the Lebesgue-Hausdorff Theorem on Baire classification of mappings defined on strongly zero-dimensional spaces.

1. Introduction

A subset A of a topological space X is *functionally F_σ- (G_δ)-set* if A is a union (an intersection) of a sequence of zero (cozero) subsets of X. If a set is functionally F_σ and functionally G_δ simultaneously, then it is called *functionally ambiguous*.

Let X and Y be topological spaces and $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping. We say that f belongs to

- *the first Baire class*, $f \in \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$, if f is a pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous mappings between X and Y;
- *the first (functional) Lebesgue class*, $f \in \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y)$ ($f \in \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y)$), if $f^{-1}(V)$ is (functionally) F_σ-set in X for any open subset V of Y.

Obviously, $\mathrm{H}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y)$ for a perfectly normal space X and a topological space Y. It is not hard to verify that the inclusion $\mathrm{B}_1(X,Y) \subseteq \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y)$ holds for any topological space X and a perfectly normal space Y (see [20, p. 386]). But the proof of the inverse inclusion is much more difficult problem that begins in the PhD thesis of René Baire [1].

The classical Lebesgue-Hausdorff theorem [22, 12] tells that

$$\mathrm{B}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y) \tag{1.1}$$

if X is a metric space and $Y = [0,1]^\omega$, or if X is a zero-dimensional metrizable separable space and Y is a metrizable separable space (see [19, Theorem 24.10]). This result was generalized by many mathematicians in several ways. The first direction concerns the verification of (1.1) for a connected-like space Y. So, the equality (1.1) holds under the following assumptions:

(I) X is a metrizable space, Y is a separable convex subset of a Banach space (S. Rolewicz [25]);

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A21, 54C50; Secondary 54H05.
Key words and phrases. Baire-one mapping, σ-discrete mapping, strongly zero-dimensional space.

(II) X is normal, $Y = \mathbb{R}$ (M. Laczkovich [21] without proof);

(III) X is a complete metric space, Y is a Banach space (C. Stegall [27]).

Moreover, it was proved that if

(IV) X is a topological space and Y is a metrizable separable arcwise connected and locally arcwise connected space (O. Karlova, V. Mykhaylyuk [18]),

then

$$\mathrm{B}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y). \tag{1.2}$$

R. Hansell in [8] (see also [9]) introduced the notion of σ-discrete mapping as a convenient tool for the investigation of Borel measurable mappings with valued in non-separable metric spaces. A mapping $f : X \to Y$ is called σ-discrete if there exists a family $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n$ of subsets of a space X such that every family $\mathcal{B}_n$ is discrete in X and the preimage $f^{-1}(V)$ of any open set V in Y is a union of sets from $\mathcal{B}$. The class of all σ-discrete mappings between X and Y is denoted by $\Sigma(X,Y)$. It is easy to see that if Y is metrizable separable then every mapping $f : X \to Y$ is σ-discrete. The equality

$$\mathrm{B}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma(X,Y) \tag{1.3}$$

holds in the following situations:

(V) X is metrizable, Y is a convex subset of a normed space (R. Hansell [9]);

(VI) X is collectionwise normal, Y is a closed convex subset of a Banach space (R. Hansell [11]);

(VII) X is a metrizable space, Y is a metrizable space, every continuous function from a closed subset of X to Y can be extended continuously on X, and for each $y \in Y$ and each neighborhood V of y in Y there exists a neighborhood W of y such that each continuous function from a closed subset $F \subseteq X$ to V admits an extension $f : X \to V$ (C.A. Rogers [24]);

(VIII) X is a perfectly normal paracompact space, Y is a Banach space (J.E. Jayne, J. Orihuela, A.J. Pallarés, G. Vera [13]);

(IX) X is metrizable, Y is metrizable arcwise connected and locally arcwise connected (M. Fosgerau [6]).

L. Veselý in [29] noticed that every Baire-one mapping f between a topological space X and a metrizable space Y is "strongly σ-discrete", i.e., there exists a family $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n$ of subsets of X such that for every family $\mathcal{B}_n = (B_i : i \in I_n)$ there exists a discrete family $(U_i : i \in I_n)$ of open sets

in X with $\overline{B_i} \subseteq U_i$ for all $i \in I_n$ and, moreover, the preimage $f^{-1}(V)$ of any open set V in Y is a union of sets from $\mathcal{B}$. The collection of all such mappings Veselý denoted by $\Sigma^*(X,Y)$ and proved the equality

$$\mathrm{B}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^*(X,Y), \tag{1.4}$$

in particular, in the case when

(X) X is a normal space, Y is a metrizable arcwise connected and locally arcwise connected space (L. Veselý [29]).

The second way of the development of the Lebesgue-Hausdorff theorem deals with the case when Y does not satisfy any properties like connectedness, but X is zero-dimensional, strongly zero-dimensional, etc. In this direction the following results were obtained: the equality (1.1) holds if

(XI) X is a normal strongly zero-dimensional space, Y is a zero-dimensional metrizable separable space (H. Shatery, J. Zafarani [26]);

the equality (1.2) takes place when

(XII) X is a strongly zero-dimensional space, Y is a metrizable separable space (O. Karlova [14]);

and the equality (1.3) is valid if

(XIII) X is a strongly zero-dimensional metrizable space and Y is a metrizable space (O. Karlova [15]).

Finally, the third direction is connected with the case when Y is non-metrizable. Here we are able to prove the equality (1.1) if

(XIV) X is a hereditarily Baire separable metrizable space, Y is a strict inductive limit of a sequence of metrizable locally convex spaces (O. Karlova, V. Mykhaylyuk [17]).

However, it remains here many unsolved problems, in particular, the following.

Question 1.1. [23, Question 3.3, p. 659] *Does every* H_1*-mapping* $f : [0,1] \to C_p[0,1]$ *belong to the first Baire class?*

This question is equivalent to the following one.

Question 1.2. [23, Question 3.4, p. 659] *Let* $f : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a function which is continuous with respect to the first variable and belongs to the first Baire class with respect to the second one. Is* f *a pointwise limit of a sequence of separately continuous functions?*

In this paper we develop technics from [6] and generalize the Lebesgue-Hausdorff Theorem for σ-discrete mappings defined on strongly zero-dimensional spaces with valued in metrizable spaces. In order to do this we consider the class of σ-strongly functionally discrete mappings introduced in [16]. We denote this class by $\Sigma^f(X,Y)$ and notice that $\Sigma^f(X,Y) = \Sigma^*(X,Y)$ if X is a normal space. We prove that $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X,Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$, if X is a strongly zero-dimensional space and Y is a metrizable space. We also introduce almost strongly zero-dimensional spaces and prove that if X is a topological space and Y is a disconnected metrizable separable space, then the following conditions are equivalent: (i) X is almost strongly zero-dimensional; (ii) $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$.

2. Relations between functionally σ-discrete and B_1-mappings

Definition 2.1. A family $\mathcal{A} = (A_i : i \in I)$ of subsets of a topological space X is said to be

(1) *discrete* if every point of X has a neighborhood which intersects at most one set from the family $\mathcal{A}$;
(2) *strongly discrete* if there exists a discrete family $(U_i : i \in I)$ of open subsets of X such that $\overline{A_i} \subseteq U_i$ for every $i \in I$;
(3) *strongly functionally discrete* or, briefly, *sfd-family* if there exists a discrete family $(U_i : i \in I)$ of cozero subsets of X such that $\overline{A_i} \subseteq U_i$ for every $i \in I$.

Remark 2.2.

(1) For an arbitrary space X we have (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1);
(2) X is collectonwise normal if and only if (1)=(2);
(3) if X is normal, then (2)=(3).

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a property of a family of sets. A family $\mathcal{A}$ is called *a σ-$\mathcal{P}$ family* if $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$, where every family $\mathcal{A}_n$ has the property $\mathcal{P}$.

Definition 2.4. A family $\mathcal{B}$ of sets of a topological space X is called *a base* for a mapping $f : X \to Y$ if the preimage $f^{-1}(V)$ of an arbitrary open set V in Y is a union of sets from $\mathcal{B}$.

Clearly, we may assume that V is an element of an open base of Y in Definition 2.4.

Definition 2.5. If a mapping $f : X \to Y$ has a base which is a σ-$\mathcal{P}$ family, then we say that f is *a σ-$\mathcal{P}$ mapping*.

The collection of all σ-$\mathcal{P}$ mappings between X and Y we will denote by

- $\Sigma(X,Y)$ if $\mathcal{P}$ is a property of discreteness;
- $\Sigma^*(X,Y)$ if $\mathcal{P}$ is a property of a strong discreteness;
- $\Sigma^f(X,Y)$ if $\mathcal{P}$ is a property of a strong functional discreteness;
- $\Sigma_0^f(X,Y)$ if f has a σ-sfd base of zero sets.

Let us observe that a continuous mapping $f : X \to Y$ is σ-discrete if either X or Y is a metrizable space, since every metrizable space has a σ-discrete base of open sets. Moreover, it is evident that every mapping with values in a second countable space is σ-discrete. In [8] Hansell proved that every Borel measurable mapping $f : X \to Y$ between a complete metric space X and a metric space Y is σ-discrete. For any metric spaces X and Y the family $\Sigma(X,Y)$ is closed under pointwise limits [10], which implies that every Baire measurable mapping between metric spaces is σ-discrete.

The following fact implies from [16, Theorem 6].

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be a topological space and Y be a metrizable space. Then $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X,Y) = \Sigma_0^f(X,Y)$.*

The next simple lemma will be useful.

Lemma 2.7. *Let X be a topological space, $(U_i : i \in I)$ be a locally finite family of cozero subsets of X, $(F_i : i \in I)$ be a family of zero subsets of X such that $F_i \subseteq U_i$ for every $i \in I$. Then $F = \bigcup_{i\in I} F_i$ is a zero set in X.*

Proof. For every $i \in I$ we choose a continuous function $f_i : X \to [0,1]$ such that $F_i = f_i^{-1}(0)$ and $X \setminus U_i = f_i^{-1}(1)$. For every $x \in X$ let $f(x) = \min_{i\in I} f_i(x)$. Then $f : X \to [0,1]$ is continuous and $F = f^{-1}(0)$. □

Corollary 2.8. *A union of an sfd-family of zero sets in a topological space is a zero set.*

We say that a topological space X *is strongly zero-dimensional* if for any completely separated subsets A and B of X there exists a clopen set U such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq X \setminus B$.

For families $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ we write $\mathcal{A} \prec \mathcal{B}$ if for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A \subseteq B$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a strongly zero-dimensional space, (Y,d) be a metric space, $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping, $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n$ be families of zero subsets of X such that*

(1) $\mathcal{F}_k$ is an sfd-family for every $k = 1, \dots, n$;

(2) $\mathcal{F}_{k+1} \prec \mathcal{F}_k$ *for every* $k = 1, \dots, n-1$*;*

(3) for every $k = 1, \dots, n$ *the inequality* $\operatorname{diam}(f(F)) < \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$ *holds for all* $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$.

Then there exists a continuous mapping $g : X \to Y$ *such that the inclusion* $x \in \cup\mathcal{F}_k$ *for some* $k = 1, \dots, n$ *implies the inequality*

$$d(f(x), g(x)) < \frac{1}{2^k}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}_k = (F_{i,k} : i \in I_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. We choose a discrete family $(U_{i,1} : i \in I_1)$ of cozero sets in X such that $F_{i,1} \subseteq U_{i,1}$ for every $i \in I_1$. Let $(V_{i,1} : i \in I_1)$ be a family of clopen sets such that $F_{i,1} \subseteq V_{i,1} \subseteq U_{i,1}$. Now we take a discrete family $(G_{i,2} : i \in I_2)$ of cozero sets such that $F_{i,2} \subseteq G_{i,2}$ for every $i \in I_2$. Since $\mathcal{F}_2 \prec \mathcal{F}_1$, for every $i \in I_2$ there exists unique $j \in I_1$ such that $F_{i,2} \subseteq F_{j,1}$. We denote $U_{i,2} = G_{i,2} \cap V_{j,1}$ and choose a clopen set $V_{i,2}$ with $F_{i,2} \subseteq V_{i,2} \subseteq U_{i,2} \subseteq V_{j,1}$. Proceeding in this way we obtain discrete families $(U_{i,k} : i \in I_k)$ and $(V_{i,k} : i \in I_k)$ of subsets of X for $k = 1, \dots, n$ such that $U_{i,k}$ is a cozero set, $V_{i,k}$ is a clopen set, for every $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $i \in I_{k+1}$ there exists unique $j \in I_k$ such that

$$F_{i,k+1} \subseteq F_{j,k}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$F_{i,k+1} \subseteq V_{i,k+1} \subseteq U_{i,k+1} \subseteq V_{j,k}. \tag{2.3}$$

Observe that for every k the set $V_k = \bigcup_{i \in I_k} V_{i,k}$ is clopen by Corollary 2.8.

Let $y_0 \in f(X)$ and $y_{i,k} \in f(F_{i,k})$ be arbitrary points for every k and $i \in I_k$. For all $x \in X$ let

$$g_0(x) = y_0.$$

Suppose that for some k, $1 \le k < n$, we have defined continuous mappings $g_1, \dots, g_k$ such that

$$g_k(x) = \begin{cases} g_{k-1}(x), & \text{if } x \in X \setminus V_k, \\ y_{i,k}, & \text{if } x \in V_{i,k} \text{ for some } i \in I_k. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Let

$$g_{k+1}(x) = \begin{cases} g_k(x), & \text{if } x \in X \setminus V_{k+1}, \\ y_{i,k+1}, & \text{if } x \in V_{i,k+1} \text{ for some } i \in I_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

Then the mapping $g_{k+1} : X \to Y$ is continuous, since every restriction $g_{k+1}|_{V_{k+1}}$ and $g_{k+1}|_{X \setminus V_{k+1}}$ is continuous and the set V_{k+1} is clopen. Proceeding inductively we define continuous mappings $g_1, \dots, g_n$ satisfying (2.4).

We put $g = g_n$ and prove that g satisfies (2.1). We first show that

$$d(g_{k+1}(x), g_k(x)) < \frac{1}{2^k} \tag{2.5}$$

for all $0 \leq k < n$ and $x \in X$. Indeed, if $x \in X \setminus V_{k+1}$, then $g_{k+1}(x) = g_k(x)$ and $d(g_{k+1}(x), g_k(x)) = 0$. Assume $x \in V_{i,k+1}$ for some $i \in I_{k+1}$. Take $j \in I_k$ such that conditions (2.2) and (2.3) hold. Then $g_{k+1}(x) = y_{i,k+1}$ and $g_k(x) = y_{j,k}$. Since $f(F_{i,k+1}) \subseteq f(F_{j,k})$, $y_{i,k+1} \in f(F_{j,k})$. Hence, $d(g_{k+1}(x), g_k(x)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(f(F_{j,k})) < \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$.

Let $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $x \in \cup\mathcal{F}_k$. Then $x \in F_{i,k} \subseteq V_{i,k}$ for some $i \in I_k$. It follows that $g_k(x) = y_{i,k}$ and $d(f(x), g_k(x)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(f(F_{i,k})) < \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$. Taking into account (2.5), we obtain that

$$d(f(x), g(x)) \leq d(f(x), g_k(x)) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} d(g_i(x), g_{i+1}(x)) < \frac{1}{2^{k+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} < \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

□

Theorem 2.10. *Let X be a strongly zero-dimensional space and Y be a metrizable space. Then $\Sigma_0^f(X, Y) \subseteq \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Fix a metric d on Y which generates its topological structure. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider a covering $\mathcal{U}_k$ of Y by open sets with diameters at most $\frac{1}{2^k}$. Let $f \in \Sigma_0^f(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be a σ-sfd base for f, which consists of zero subsets of X. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$\mathcal{B}_k = (B \in \mathcal{B} : \exists U \in \mathcal{U}_k \mid B \subseteq f^{-1}(U)).$$

Then $\mathcal{B}_k$ is a σ-sfd family and $X = \cup\mathcal{B}_k$ for every k. According to [16, Lemma 13] for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence $(\mathcal{B}_{k,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of sfd families of zero subsets of X such that $\mathcal{B}_{k,n} \prec B_k$, $\mathcal{B}_{k,n} \prec \mathcal{B}_{k,n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{k,n} = X$. For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} = (B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_k : B_m \in \mathcal{B}_{m,n}, 1 \leq m \leq k).$$

Notice that each of the families $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$ is strongly functionally discrete, consists of zero sets and satisfy the following conditions:

(a) $\mathcal{F}_{k+1,n} \prec \mathcal{F}_{k,n}$,
(b) $\mathcal{F}_{k,n} \prec \mathcal{F}_{k,n+1}$,
(c) $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{k,n} = X$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we apply Proposition 2.9 to f and to the families $\mathcal{F}_{1,n}$, $\mathcal{F}_{2,n}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{n,n}$. We get a sequence of continuous mappings $g_n : X \to Y$ such that the inclusion $x \in \cup\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$ for some $k \leq n$ implies $d(f(x), g_n(x)) < \frac{1}{2^k}$. It is easy to see that properties (b) and (c) imply that $g_n \to f$ pointwise on X. Hence, $f \in \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y)$. □

Proposition 2.11. *Let X be a topological space and Y be a metrizable space. Then $\mathrm{B}_1(X, Y) \subseteq \Sigma_0^f(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$ and $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of continuous mappings $f_n : X \to Y$ such that $f(x) = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} f_n(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_m$ be a σ-discrete open base of the space Y. For every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ we choose a sequence $(G_{k,V})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of open sets such that $\overline{G_{k,V}} \subseteq G_{k+1,V}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{G_{k,V}}$. It is not hard to verify that

$$f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}(\overline{G_{k,V}}). \tag{2.6}$$

Denote $F_{k,V} = \bigcap\limits_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}(\overline{G_{k,V}})$ and notice that every $F_{k,V}$ is a zero set in X. For all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we put $\mathcal{B}_{k,m} = (F_{k,V} : V \in \mathcal{V}_m)$ and $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\limits_{k,m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{k,m}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a base for f. Moreover, every family $\mathcal{B}_{k,m}$ is strongly functionally discrete, since $F_{k,V} \subseteq f_k^{-1}(V)$ and the family $(f_k^{-1}(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}_m)$ is discrete and consists of cozero sets. □

Combining Theorems 2.6, 2.10 and Proposition 2.11, we get

Theorem 2.12. *Let X be a strongly zero-dimensional space and Y be a metrizable space. Then* $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X,Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$.

According to Theorem 3 from [8] we have $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \subseteq \Sigma^f(X,Y)$ for any completely metrizable X and metrizable Y. This fact and Theorem 2.12 immediately imply the following result.

Theorem 2.13. *Let X be a completely metrizable strongly zero-dimensional space and Y be a metrizable space. Then* $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$.

We show that the metrizability of Y in Theorem 2.13 is essential.

Example 2.14. *There exists a completely metrizable strongly zero-dimensional space X and a Lindelöf strongly zero-dimensional space Y such that* $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \setminus \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let X be the set of all irrational numbers with the euclidian topology and Y be the same set with the topology induced from the Sorgenfrey line (recall that the Sorgenfrey line is the real line $\mathbb{R}$ endowed with the topology generated by the base consisting of all semi-intervals $[a,b)$, where $a < b$).

Take a countable dense in X set $Q = \{q_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and for all $x \in X$ we put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x - \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } x = q_n, \\ x, & \text{if } x \in X \setminus Q. \end{cases}$$

To show that $f \in \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y)$ it is enough to verify that $f^{-1}([a,b)\cap Y)$ is an F_σ-set in X for every $a<b$, since Y is Lindelöf. Denote $E = f^{-1}([a,b)\cap Y)$. Notice that the sets $A = (X\cap[a,b))\setminus E$ and $B = E\setminus(a,b)$ are subsets of Q. Let $(n_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of numbers such that $A = \{q_{n_k} : k\in\mathbb{N}\}$. Then $a \le r_{n_k} \le a+\frac{1}{n_k}$ for every k. Therefore, $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} r_{n_k} = a$ in X. Hence, the set A is G_δ in X. Then the equality $E = (X\cap[a,b)\setminus A)\cup B$ implies that E is an F_σ-set in X.

Now we prove that $f\notin \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$. Assume that there exists a sequence of continuous mappings $f_n : X\to Y$ such that $f_n(x)\to f(x)$ for every $x\in X$. Let

$$A_n = \{x\in X : \forall k\ge n\ \ f_k(x)\ge f(x)\}$$

for $n\in\mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that $\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^\infty A_n = X$. Since the set $X\setminus Q$ is of the second category in X, there exist a number n and a set $[a,b]$ such that $[a,b]\cap X \subseteq \overline{A_n\setminus Q}$. Notice that $A_n\setminus Q\subseteq F$, where $F = \bigcap\limits_{k=n}^\infty\{x\in X : f_k(x)\ge x\}$. Since F is closed in X, we have $[a,b]\cap X\subseteq F$. Then $f(x) = \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} f_k(x)\ge x$ for all $x\in[a,b]\cap X$, a contradiction. □

3. Almost strongly zero-dimensional spaces and characterization theorems

In this section we find necessary conditions on a space X under which the equality $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y)\cap\Sigma^f(X,Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$ holds for any disconnected metrizable space Y.

Definition 3.1. A subset F of a topological space X is called *a C-set* if there exists a sequence $(U_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of clopen sets in X such that $F = \bigcap\limits_{n=1}^\infty U_n$. A set is called *a C_σ-set* if it is a union of a sequence of C-sets.

Definition 3.2. We say that a topological space X is *almost strongly zero-dimensional* if every zero subset of X is a C_σ-set.

Notice that every strongly zero-dimensional space is almost strongly zero-dimensional.

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a topological space, C_1 and C_2 be disjoint C-subsets of X. Then there exists a clopen set G in X such that $C_1\subseteq G\subseteq X\setminus C_2$.*

Proof. Let $(U_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(V_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be sequences of clopen subsets of X such that $X\setminus C_1 = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^\infty U_n$ and $X\setminus C_2 = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^\infty V_n$. For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ put $G_n = V_n\setminus\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^n U_k$ and let $G = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^\infty G_n$. Clearly, $C_1\subseteq G\subseteq X\setminus C_2$ and G is open in

X. It remains to show that G is closed. Let $x \in \overline{G}$. If $x \in C_1$ then $x \in G$. If $x \notin C_1$, then there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in U_N$. Notice that $U_N \cap G_n = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq N$. Then $x \in \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{N-1} G_n} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N-1} \overline{G_n} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N-1} G_n \subseteq G$. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a topological space and $C_1, \ldots, C_n$ be disjoint C-subsets of X, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist disjoint clopen sets $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ in X such that $X = G_1 \cup \cdots \cup G_n$ and $C_i \subseteq G_i$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$.*

Proposition 3.5. *Every almost strongly zero-dimensional completely regular space X is totally separated.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ be distinct points and U, V be disjoint zero neighborhoods of x and y, respectively. Since X is almost strongly zero-dimensional, there exist C-sets C_x and C_y such that $x \in C_x \subseteq U$ and $y \in C_y \subseteq V$. By Lemma 3.3 there exists a clopen set G such that $C_x \subseteq G$ and $G \cap C_y = \emptyset$. Hence, x and y can be separated by a clopen set which implies that X is totally separated. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let X be a topological space, $F \subseteq X$ be a countably compact C_σ-set, $C \subseteq X$ be a C-set and $F \cap C = \emptyset$. Then there exists a clopen set G in X such that $F \subseteq G \subseteq X \setminus C$.*

Proof. Let $(C_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of C-sets such that $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$. Lemma 3.3 implies that for every n there exists a clopen set G_n in X such that $C_n \subseteq G_n \subseteq X \setminus C$. Since F is countably compact, we choose a finite subcovering $\mathcal{G}$ of the covering $(G_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of F. It remains to put $G = \cup \mathcal{G}$. □

In the same manner we can prove the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *Let X be a topological space and $F, E \subseteq X$ be disjoint countably compact C_σ-sets. Then there exists a clopen set G in X such that $F \subseteq G \subseteq X \setminus E$.*

Taking into account that every closed subset of a countably compact space is countably compact, we obtain the following corollary from Lemma 3.7.

Proposition 3.8. *Let X be a countably compact space. Then X is almost strongly zero-dimensional if and only if it is strongly zero-dimensional.*

The following question is open.

Question 3.9. *Do there exists a completely regular almost dimensional space which is not strongly zero-dimensional?*

Proposition 3.10. *Let X be a topological space, Y be a disconnected space such that $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X,Y) \subseteq \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$. Then X is almost strongly zero-dimensional.*

Proof. Let U and V be clopen disjoint nonempty subsets of Y such that $Y = U \cup V$, $F \subseteq X$ be a zero set, $y_1 \in U$, $y_2 \in V$ and let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping such that $f(x) = y_1$ for all $x \in F$ and $f(x) = y_2$ for all $x \in X \setminus F$. It is easy to see that $f \in \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X,Y)$. Then there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of continuous mappings $f_n : X \to Y$ such that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in X$. Then $F = f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap\limits_{m=n}^{\infty} f_m^{-1}(U)$. Hence, F is a C_σ-set. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let Y be a disconnected metrizable space. If*

(a) X is locally compact paracompact Hausdorff space, or

(b) X is a countably compact space,

then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X,Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$;

(2) X is a strongly zero-dimensional space.

Proof. (1)⇒(2). According to Proposition 3.10, X is almost strongly zero-dimensional. It follows that X is strongly zero-dimensional in case (b) by Proposition 3.8. In case (a) X is completely regular and, consequently, totally separated by Proposition 3.5. It remains to apply Theorem 6.2.10 from [5].

The implication (2)⇒(1) follows from Theorem 2.12. □

A sequence $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of mappings $f_n : X \to Y$ is called *stably convergent* to a mapping $f : X \to Y$ if for every $x \in X$ there exists a number n_0 such that $f_n(x) = f(x)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. We denote this fact by $f_n \xrightarrow{st} f$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let X be an almost zero-dimensional space, Y be a T_1-space and $f \in \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y)$ be a finite-valued mapping. Then there exists a sequence of continuous finite-valued mappings $f_n : X \to Y$ which is stably convergent to f on X.*

Proof. Denote $f(X) = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$. Since for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ the set $A_i = f^{-1}(y_i)$ is functionally F_σ in X, there exists an increasing sequence $(C_{i,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of C-subsets of X such that $A_i = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{i,n}$. According to Corollary 3.4 for

every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are disjoint clopen sets $G_{1,n}, \dots, G_{m,n}$ such that $C_{i,n} \subseteq G_{i,n}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $X = G_{1,n} \cup \dots \cup G_{m,n}$. Now for every $n \geq 1$ we put $f_n(x) = y_i$ if $x \in G_{i,n}$ for some $i = 1, \dots, m$. It is easy to see that $f_n \xrightarrow{st} f$ on X. □

Lemma 3.13. *Let X be an almost zero-dimensional space, (Y, d) be a metric space and $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of finite-valued mappings $f_n \in \mathrm{K}_1(X, Y)$ which is uniformly convergent to $f : X \to Y$. Then $f \in \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$d(f_{n+1}(x), f_n(x)) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.12 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence $(f_{n,m})_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of continuous finite-valued mappings $f_{n,m} : X \to Y$ such that

$$f_{n,m} \xrightarrow{d} f_n. \tag{3.2}$$

For all $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$h_{0,m}(x) = h_{1,m}(x) = f_{1,m}(x).$$

Now assume that for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have already defined sequences $(h_{1,m})_{m=1}^{\infty}$, …, $(h_{k,m})_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of continuous finite-valued mappings such that

$$h_{n,m} \xrightarrow{d} f_n \quad \text{for all } n = 1, \dots, k, \tag{3.3}$$

(3.4)

$$d(h_{n+1,m}(x), h_{n,m}(x)) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \text{ for all } x \in X, m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$U_m = \big\{x \in X : d(f_{k+1,m}(x), h_{k,m}(x)) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\big\}.$$

Then U_m is clopen in X. Moreover, conditions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) imply that

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} U_m. \tag{3.5}$$

Define a sequence of finite-valued continuous mappings $(h_{k+1,m})_{m=1}^{\infty}$ by the formula

$$h_{k+1,m}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{k+1,m}(x), & \text{if } x \in U_m, \\ h_{k,m}(x), & \text{if } x \notin U_m. \end{cases}$$

Notice that (3.2) and (3.5) imply that $h_{k+1,m} \xrightarrow{d} f_{k+1}$ on X.

We prove that the inequality (3.4) holds. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$. If $x \in U_m$, then $h_{k+1,m}(x) = f_{k+1,m}(x)$ and $d(h_{k+1,m}(x), h_{k,m}(x)) =$

$d(f_{k+1,m}(x), h_{k,m}(x)) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$. If $x \notin U_m$, then $h_{k+1,m}(x) = h_{k,m}(x)$ i $d(h_{k+1,m}(x), h_{k,m}(x)) = 0$.

Finally, we show that $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} h_{m,m}(x) = f(x)$. Let $x \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and n_0 be a number such that

$$\frac{1}{2^{n_0}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad d(f_{n_0}(x), f(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Take $m_0 > n_0$ with $h_{n_0,m}(x) = f_{n_0}(x)$ for all $m \geq m_0$. Then

$$d(h_{m,m}(x), f(x)) \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{m} d(h_{i-1,m}(x), h_{i,m}(x)) + d(h_{n_0,m}(x), f_{n_0}(x)) + d(f_{n_0}(x), f(x)) <$$

$$< \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{m} \frac{1}{2^i} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \frac{1}{2^{n_0}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$$

for all $m \geq m_0$. Hence, $f \in \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y)$. □

Theorem 3.14. *Let X be an almost zero-dimensional space and Y be a metrizable separable space. Then* $\mathrm{K}_1(X, Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y)$.

Proof. The inclusion $\mathrm{B}_1(X, Y) \subseteq \mathrm{K}_1(X, Y)$ follows from the equality (2.6).

Let $f \in \mathrm{K}_1(X, Y)$ and d be a metric on Y such that (Y, d) is completely bounded. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we take a finite $\frac{1}{n}$-network $Y_n = \{y_{i,n} : i \in I_n\}$ in Y and put $A_{i,n} = \{x \in X : d(f(x), y_{i,n}) < \frac{1}{n}\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $i \in I_n$. Notice that for every n the family $(A_{i,n} : i \in I_n)$ is a covering of X by functionally F_σ-sets. Similarly as in the proof of the Reduction Theorem [20, p. 350] we take a sequence of disjoint functionally ambiguous sets $F_{i,n}$ in X such that $F_{i,n} \subseteq A_{i,n}$ and $\bigcup\limits_{i\in I_n} F_{i,n} = X$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we put $f_n(x) = y_{i,n}$ if $x \in F_{i,n}$ for some $i \in I_n$. Then $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of finite-valued mappings $f_n \in \mathrm{K}_1(X, Y)$ which is uniformly convergent to f on X. It remains to apply Lemma 3.12. □

Combining Proposition 3.10 and Theorem 3.14 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.15. *If X is a topological space and Y is a disconnected metrizable separable space, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) X is almost strongly zero-dimensional;

(2) $\mathrm{K}_1(X, Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y)$.

References

[1] R. Baire, *Sur les fonctions de variables reélles*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., ser. 3. (3) (1899), 1–123.

[2] S. Banach, *Üver analytisch darstellbare Operationen in abstrakten Räumen*, Fund. Math. **17** (1) (1931), 283–295.
[3] R. Blair, A. Hager, *Extensions of zero-sets and of real-valued functions*, Mathematische Zeitschrift 136 (1974), 41–52.
[4] H. Corson, *Normality in subsets of product spaces*, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959), 785-796.
[5] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Revised and completed edition. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
[6] M. Fosgerau, *When are Borel functions Baire functions?*, Fund. Math. **143** (1993), 137–152.
[7] K. Garg, *On the classification of set-valued functions*, Real Anal. Exch. 9 (1985), 86–93.
[8] R.W. Hansell, *Borel measurable mappings for nonseparable metric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 161 (1971), 145–169.
[9] R.W. Hansell, *On Borel mappings and Baire functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 194 (1974), 145–169.
[10] R.W. Hansell, *Extended Bochner measurable selectors*, Math. Ann. 277 (1987), 79–94.
[11] R. Hansell, *First class functions with values in nonseparable spaces*, in: Constantin Carathéodory: An International Tribute, T. M. Rassias (ed.), World Sci., Singapore, (1992), 461–475.
[12] F. Hausdorff, *Set Theory*, American Mathematical Soc., 1957.
[13] J.E. Jayne, J. Orihuela, A.J. Pallarés, G. Vera, *σ-fragmentability of multivalued maps and selection theorems*, J. Fuct. Anal. **117** (2) (1993), 243–273.
[14] O. Karlova, *Disconnected spaces and Baire classification of Lebesgue one mappings*, Ukr. Math. Bull. **4** (2) (2007), 180–188 (in Ukrainian).
[15] O. Karlova, *Baire classification of σ-discrete mappings*, Bull. Chernivtsi Nat. Univ. Mathematics, 374 (2008), 52–54 (in Ukrainian).
[16] O. Karlova, *Functionally σ-discrete mappings and a generalization of Banach's theorem*, arXiv:1501.02901.
[17] O. Karlova, V. Mykhaylyuk, *On Baire one mappings and Lebesgue one mappings with values in inductive limits*, Mat. Studii **25** (1) (2006), 103–107.
[18] O. Karlova, V. Mykhaylyuk, *Functions of the first Baire class with values in metrizable spaces*, Ukr. J. Math. **58** (4) (2006), 567–571 (in Ukrainian).
[19] A. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 156, Springer, 1995.
[20] K. Kuratowski, *Topology. Volume 1*, Academic Press, 1966.
[21] M. Laczkowich, *Baire 1 functions*, Real Anal. Exch. (9) (1983-1984), 15–28.
[22] H. Lebesgue, *Sur les fonctions représentables analytiqment*, J. Math. **1** (6) (1905), 139–216.
[23] Open problems in topology II /ed. by Elliott Pearl/ Elsevier, 2007.
[24] C. A. Rogers, *Functions of the first Baire class*, J. London Math. Soc. **37** (3) (1988), 535–544.
[25] S. Rolewicz, *On inversion of non-linear transformations*, Studia Math. 17 (1958), 79–83.
[26] H.R. Shatery, J. Zafarani, *The equality between Borel and Baire classes*, Real Anal. Exch. **30** (1) (2004-2005), 373–384.
[27] C. Stegall, *Functins of the first Baire class with values in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), 981–991.
[28] A.H. Stone, *Paracompactness and product spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (10) (1948), 977–982.
[29] L. Veselý, *Characterization of Baire-one functions between topological spaces*, Acta Univ. Carol., Math. Phys. **33** (2) (1992), 143–156.

Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Chernivtsi National University, Chernivtsi, Ukraine
E-mail address: maslenizza.ua@gmail.com